PediatricsinReview

Update: Infective Endocarditis Prophylaxis: Reckoning With the Evidence

Michael Silberbach Pediatrics in Review 2008;29;169 DOI: 10.1542/pir.29-5-169

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is located on the World Wide Web at:

http://pedsinreview.aappublications.org/content/29/5/169

Pediatrics in Review is the official journal of the American Academy of Pediatrics. A monthly publication, it has been published continuously since 1979. Pediatrics in Review is owned, published, and trademarked by the American Academy of Pediatrics, 141 Northwest Point Boulevard, Elk Grove Village, Illinois, 60007. Copyright © 2008 by the American Academy of Pediatrics. All rights reserved. Print ISSN: 0191-9601.



Update: Infective Endocarditis Prophylaxis: Reckoning With the Evidence

Michael Silberbach, MD*

Author Disclosure
Dr Silberbach
disclosed no financial
relationships relevant
to this article. This
commentary does not
contain a discussion
of an unapproved/
investigative use of a
commerical product/
device.

Introduction

In an effort to offer clinicians reasonable guidelines for the prevention of infective endocarditis (IE), the American Heart Association (AHA) has published a landmark revision of its widely followed statement on prophylaxis. (1) This tenth version since 1955 considerably decreases the number of conditions for which antimicrobial prophylaxis should be administered prior to bacteremia-producing procedures. The guidelines continue to endorse the rationale for prophylaxis: It is better to prevent IE than to treat established cardiovascular infection; specific cardiac diseases are at greater risk for IE; certain dental, gastrointestinal (GI), and genitourinary (GU) procedures typically cause bacteremia that could lead to IE; and at least in animal models, there is evidence that antibiotics can prevent IE. However, in a major departure from the previous guidelines, it is recognized that no direct evidence in humans indicates that antibiotic prophylaxis is effective. Accordingly, the new guidelines stress the value of excellent oral health and simultaneously de-emphasize the necessity for preprocedure antibiotics.

The Rationale

Potentially IE-causing bacteremia occurs daily during food chewing, tooth brushing, and tooth flossing, yet IE rarely occurs despite the lack of antibiotic treatment. One study estimated that twice-daily tooth brushing over 1 year causes a 154,000-fold greater risk for bacteremia than a single tooth extraction. (2) Furthermore, IE is known to occur regardless of prophylaxis. (3) Therefore, it is believed that preprocedure antibiotics actually prevent few cases of IE. The risks associated with the administration of antibiotics themselves, such as microbial resistance to frequently used antibiotics, probably are greater than the potential but unproven benefit of antibiotics used to prevent IE.

Who Should Receive Prophylaxis?

The new guidelines recommend antibiotic prophylaxis for individuals who have cardiac conditions that pose the "highest risk of having an adverse outcome" from IE (Table). It is important to note that common cardiac conditions are excluded that have a high cumulative lifetime risk of IE, such as mitral valve prolapse or bicuspid aortic valve, but are not at the "highest risk" for a poor outcome from IE. This guideline represents a fundamental reversal of the AHA's previously held position. Clinicians making decisions about treatment in specific cases should remember that associated noncardiovascular conditions such as patients needing dialysis, immunocompromised individuals, or older age (geriatric individuals) predict a worse outcome from IE. These patients should have appropriate management of their underlying comorbidities.

For Those at High Risk, What Procedures Require Prophylaxis?

The AHA statement clarifies the procedures for which prophylaxis is indicated. As before, it is reasonable to provide prophylaxis for any dental procedure that involves "extensive manipulation of gingiva" or when "perforation of the oral mucosa" is likely. It is reasonable to provide antibiotics before procedures involving the "respiratory tract, infected skin, or musculoskeletal tissue." Unlike previous AHA guidelines, GU or GI tract procedures do not require preprocedure antibiotics. Finally, the list of procedures not requiring prophylaxis is extended to include vaginal delivery, hysterectomy, and tattooing. The committee discourages body piercing because it may be associated with bacteremia, while acknowledging that no data on the subject are available.

*Editorial Board.

Table. Cardiac Conditions Associated With the Highest Risk of Adverse Outcome From Endocarditis for Which Prophylaxis With Dental Procedures Is Reasonable

- Prosthetic cardiac valve or prosthetic material used for cardiac valve repair
- · Previous infective endocarditis
- Congenital heart disease (CHD)*

Unrepaired cyanotic CHD, including palliative shunts and conduits

Completely repaired congenital heart defect with prosthetic material or device, whether placed by surgery or by catheter intervention, during the first 6 mo after the procedure[†]

Repaired CHD with residual defects at the site or adjacent to the site of a prosthetic patch or prosthetic device (which inhibit endothelialization)

- · Cardiac transplantation recipients who develop cardiac valvulopathy
- *Except for the conditions listed, antibiotic prophylaxis no longer is recommended for any other form of CHD.
- †Prophylaxis is reasonable because endothelialization of prosthetic material occurs within 6 mo after the procedure.

Copyright 2006 American Heart Association, Inc. Permission granted for one-time use. Additional reproduction is not permitted without permission of the AHA.

References

1. Wilson W, Taubert KA, Gewitz M, et al. Prevention of infective endocarditis: guidelines from the American Heart Association: a guideline from the American Heart Association Rheumatic Fever, Endocarditis, and Kawasaki Disease Committee, Council on Cardiovascular Disease in the Young, and the Council on Clinical Cardiology, Council on Cardiovascular Surgery and Anesthesia, and the Quality of Care and Outcomes

Research Interdisciplinary Working Group. Circulation. 2007; 116:1736–1754

- 2. Roberts GJ. Dentists are innocent! "Everyday" bacteremia is the real culprit: a review and assessment of the evidence that dental surgical procedures are a principal cause of bacterial endocarditis in children. *Pediatr Cardiol*. 1999;20:317–325
- **3.** Van der Meer JT, Van Wijk W, Thompson J, Vandenbroucke JP, Valkenburg HA, Michel MF. Efficacy of antibiotic prophylaxis for prevention of native-valve endocarditis. *Lancet*. 1992;339:135–139

Update: Infective Endocarditis Prophylaxis: Reckoning With the Evidence

Michael Silberbach Pediatrics in Review 2008;29;169 DOI: 10.1542/pir.29-5-169

Updated Information & including high resolution figures, can be found at:

Services http://pedsinreview.aappublications.org/content/29/5/169

References This article cites 3 articles, 1 of which you can access for free at:

http://pedsinreview.aappublications.org/content/29/5/169#BIBL

Subspecialty Collections This article, along with others on similar topics, appears in the

following collection(s): Cardiovascular Disorders

http://pedsinreview.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/cardiovas

cular_disorders
Infectious Diseases

http://pedsinreview.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/infectious

_diseases

Permissions & Licensing Information about reproducing this article in parts (figures,

tables) or in its entirety can be found online at:

/site/misc/Permissions.xhtml

Reprints Information about ordering reprints can be found online:

/site/misc/reprints.xhtml

