PEDIATRACS®

US Preventive Services Task Force Vision Screening Recommendations Sean P. Donahue, James B. Ruben and On Behalf of the American Academy of Ophthalmology, the American Academy of Pediatrics, Ophthalmology Section, the American Association for Pediatric Ophthalmology and Strabismus, the Children'S Eye Foundation, and the American Association of Certified Orthoptists *Pediatrics* 2011;127;569; originally published online January 31, 2011; DOI: 10.1542/peds.2011-0020

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is located on the World Wide Web at: http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/127/3/569.full.html

PEDIATRICS is the official journal of the American Academy of Pediatrics. A monthly publication, it has been published continuously since 1948. PEDIATRICS is owned, published, and trademarked by the American Academy of Pediatrics, 141 Northwest Point Boulevard, Elk Grove Village, Illinois, 60007. Copyright © 2011 by the American Academy of Pediatrics. All rights reserved. Print ISSN: 0031-4005. Online ISSN: 1098-4275.



Downloaded from pediatrics.aappublications.org by guest on June 15, 2012

US Preventive Services Task Force Vision Screening Recommendations

AUTHORS: Sean P. Donahue, MD, PhD,^a and James B. Ruben, MD,^{b,c,d} ON BEHALF OF THE AMERICAN ACADEMY OF OPHTHALMOLOGY, THE AMERICAN ACADEMY OF PEDIATRICS, OPHTHALMOLOGY SECTION, THE AMERICAN ASSOCIATION FOR PEDIATRIC OPHTHALMOLOGY AND STRABISMUS, THE CHILDREN'S EYE FOUNDATION, AND THE AMERICAN ASSOCIATION OF CERTIFIED ORTHOPTISTS

^aDepartments of Pediatrics, Ophthalmology, and Neurology, Vanderbilt University School of Medicine, Nashville, Tennessee; ^bPediatric Ophthalmology and Adult Strabismus, Northern California Permanente Medical Group, Roseville, CA; ^cDepartment of Ophthalmology, University of California, Davis, California; and ^dAAP Section on Ophthalmology, Sacramento, California

ABBREVIATION

USPSTF—US Preventive Services Task Force

Opinions expressed in these commentaries are those of the author and not necessarily those of the American Academy of Pediatrics or its Committees.

www.pediatrics.org/cgi/doi/10.1542/peds.2011-0020

doi:10.1542/peds.2011-0020

Accepted for publication Jan 6, 2011

Address correspondence to Sean P. Donahue, MD, PhD, Departments of Pediatrics, Ophthalmology, and Neurology, Vanderbilt University Medical Center/Vanderbilt Eye Institute, 2311 Pierce Ave, Nashville, TN 37232-8808. E-mail: sean.donahue@vanderbilt.edu

PEDIATRICS (ISSN Numbers: Print, 0031-4005; Online, 1098-4275).

Copyright © 2011 by the American Academy of Pediatrics

FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE: Dr Donahue has had research and travel support from Plusoptix Inc and Welch Allyn, Inc and is a consultant for Diopsys, Inc; Dr Ruben has indicated he has no financial relationships relevant to this article to disclose. We commend the recent recommendation by the US Preventative Services Task Force (USPSTF) for vision screening of all children at least once between the ages of 3 and 5 years.¹ Amblyopia is the leading cause of monocular visual impairment in US children. Several high-quality, randomized controlled clinical studies have shown that amblyopia treatment is highly successful.² Early detection is critical, because there is a window for successful treatment. Hence, this recommendation is an important step toward the elimination of a major preventable cause of lifelong visual loss. The USPSTF recommendation is consistent with the American Academy of Pediatrics "Recommendations for Preventive Pediatric Health Care,"³ and *Bright Futures Guidelines for Health Supervision of Infants Children and Adolescents*,⁴ both of which recommend universal screening for vision at the 3-, 4-, and 5-year health supervision visits.

Although we support the task force recommendation to provide vision screening for children aged 3 to 5 years, we are concerned about the finding of "insufficient evidence" (I) for screening children under the age of 3. Figure 1 in the recommendation¹ notes that current practice for these younger children is "assessment of visual acuity, strabismus, and stereoacuity." The USPSTF "current practice" of evaluation of visual acuity and stereoacuity is typically not possible in the child younger than 3 years; therefore, red-reflex testing and either photorefraction or autorefraction are the preferred means for screening such children. Red-reflex testing is the backbone of infant and toddler screening but was omitted from the USPSTF statement. Assessment of the fundus "red reflex" with a direct ophthalmoscope should be performed in the newborn nursery and at regular intervals during infancy to rule out retinoblastoma, cataract, and other media opacities. Failure to detect causes of deprivation amblyopia (such as congenital cataract) in the first few months of life results in irreparable, severe, and permanent visual loss. Failure to detect retinoblastoma will produce unnecessary loss of the eye or life. It would be unethical to perform a prospective randomized controlled study on children younger than 3 years with red-reflex testing versus no red-reflex testing; therefore, it is unlikely that any such study will ever be undertaken.

New technologies that detect amblyopia risk factors are now commercially available. These autorefractors and photoscreeners have been extensively validated in specialized^{5–7} and community^{8–10} settings and are specifically mentioned as screening tests in the USPSTF statement. A recent randomized controlled crossover study revealed that photoscreening was superior to traditional vision screening in the pediatric office in detecting preschool-aged children with amblyopia or its risk factors.¹¹ As noted in the USPSTF statement, such technology is more expensive than traditional screening and may result in children being overreferred; nevertheless, it remains the preferred option for children who are unable or unwilling to cooperate with traditional screening. The Children's Eye Foundation (the nonprofit foundation of the American Association for Pediatric Ophthalmology and Strabismus) has provided a summary of these new technologies, along with references to the literature that support the effectiveness of each of them.¹²

We also disagree with the conclusion that there is insufficient evidence for the benefit of amblyopia screening or treatment for children younger than 3 years. A large study of nearly 1000 children with anisometropia revealed that amblyopia typically develops in those with high-magnitude anisometropia before the age of 3, and after 3 years amblyopia prevalence remains constant, but its depth increases.¹³ A German study of more than 100 children with strabismus revealed that children who had their refractive error corrected by no later than 30 months of age had a higher likelihood of

REFERENCES

- Nicolella L. Vision screening for children 1 to 5 years of age: US Preventive Services Task Force Recommendation Statement. *Pediatrics*. 2011;127(2):1–7
- Holmes JM, Repka MX, Kraker RT, Clarke MP. The treatment of amblyopia. *Strabismus*. 2006;14(1):37–42
- American Academy of Pediatrics, Committee on Practice and Ambulatory Medicine. Recommendations for preventive pediatric health care. *Pediatrics*. 1995;96(2 pt 1):373–374
- American Academy of Pediatrics. Bright Futures: Guidelines for Health Supervision of Infants, Children, And Adolescents. Hagan JF Jr, Shaw JS, Duncan P, eds. 3rd ed. Elk Grove Village, IL: American Academy of Pediatrics; 2008
- Arthur BW, Riyaz R, Rodriguez S, Wong J. Field testing of the Plusoptix S04 photoscreener. J AAPOS. 2009;13(1):51–57
- Matta NS, Arnold RW, Singman EL, Silbert DI. Comparison between the Plusoptix and MTI photoscreeners. Arch Ophthalmol. 2009; 127(12):1591–1595
- Matta NS, Singman EL, Silbert DI. Performance of the Plusoptix S04 photoscreener for the detection of amblyopia risk factors

achieving 20/20 acuity than those treated at later ages.¹⁴ A large study of children with amblyopia identified after photoscreening referral (many of whom were under the age of 3 when screened) demonstrated a 78% success rate in achieving 20/30 acuity in the previously amblyopic eye.¹⁵ Finally, a study of 149 children with hypermetropia identified with community photoscreening had a lower rate of developing amblyopia or strabismus if treated than if untreated; moreover, no spectacle-treated children developed a strabismus that eventually required surgical correction.¹⁶ Because accommodative strabismus typically develops between the ages of 2 and 4 years,17 and because prolonged duration of ocular misalignment is associated with a higher likelihood of needing surgical correction, the results of these studies support the benefit of screening in this younger age group.

As we enter an era in which increasing emphasis is being placed on cost-

in children aged 3 to 5. *J AAPOS*. 2010;14(2): 147–149

- Donahue SP, Baker JD, Scott WE, et al. Lions Club International Foundation Core Four Photoscreening: results from 17 programs and 400,000 preschool children. *J AAPOS*. 2006;10(1):44-48
- Rowatt AJ, Donahue SP, Crosby C, Hudson AC, Simon S, Emmons K. Field evaluation of the Welch Allyn SureSight vision screener: incorporating the Vision in Preschoolers Study recommendations. J AAPOS. 2007; 11(3):243–248
- Longmuir SQ, Pfeifer W, Leon A, Olson RJ, Short L, Scott WE. Nine-year results of a volunteer lay network photoscreening program of 147,809 children using a photoscreener in Iowa. *Ophthalmology.* 2010; 117(10):1869–1875
- Salcido AA, Bradley J, Donahue SP. Predictive value of photoscreening and traditional screening of preschool children. *J AAPOS*. 2005;9(2):114–120
- Children's Eye Foundation. Objective vision screening device overview. Available at: www.childrenseyefoundation.org/ Programs/See-by-Three/Objective-

effectiveness and value, we fully support evidence-based guidelines that refine practice patterns. The treatment of amblyopia is an extremely cost-effective intervention.¹⁸ Preschool vision screening identifies children with amblyopia so they can receive appropriate care. Newer technologies now allow the identification of amblyopia risk factors before strabismus and amblyopia develop and become entrenched, and evidence supports the effectiveness of treatment of children so identified. It should be emphasized that the I (inconclusive) rating given by the USPSTF for screening children younger than 3 years should not be misinterpreted as "ineffective." We welcome the **USPSTF** level B recommendation for at least 1 vision screening in the child aged 3 to 5 years and believe that there is now adequate evidence to support an earlier screening using photorefraction or autorefraction in younger children.

Screening-Devices.aspx. Accessed January 7, 2011

- Leon A, Donahue SP, Morrison DG, Estes RL, Li C. The age-dependent effect of anisometropia magnitude on anisometropic amblyopia severity. J AAPOS. 2008;12(2):150–156
- Friedburg D, Klöppel KP. Early correction of hyperopia and astigmatism in children leads to better development of visual acuity [in German]. *Klin Monbl Augenheilkd*. 1996; 209(1):21–24
- Teed RG, Bui CM, Morrison DG, Estes RL, Donahue SP. Amblyopia therapy in children identified by photoscreening. *Ophthalmol*ogy. 2010;117(1):159–162
- Colburn JD, Morrison DG, Estes RL, Li C, Lu P, Donahue SP. Longitudinal followup of hypermetropic children identified during preschool vision screening. J AAPOS. 2010; 14(3):211–215
- Donahue SP. Clinical practice: pediatric strabismus. N Engl J Med. 2007;356(10): 1040-1047
- Membreno JH, Brown MM, Brown GC, Sharma S, Beauchamp GR. A cost utility analysis of therapy for amblyopia. *Ophthalmology*. 2002;109(12):2265–2271

US Preventive Services Task Force Vision Screening Recommendations Sean P. Donahue, James B. Ruben and On Behalf of the American Academy of Ophthalmology, the American Academy of Pediatrics, Ophthalmology Section, the American Association for Pediatric Ophthalmology and Strabismus, the Children'S Eye Foundation, and the American Association of Certified Orthoptists *Pediatrics* 2011;127;569; originally published online January 31, 2011; DOI: 10.1542/peds.2011-0020

Updated Information & Services	including high resolution figures, can be found at: http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/127/3/569.full.ht ml
References	This article cites 16 articles, 2 of which can be accessed free at: http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/127/3/569.full.ht ml#ref-list-1
Citations	This article has been cited by 2 HighWire-hosted articles: http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/127/3/569.full.ht ml#related-urls
Subspecialty Collections	This article, along with others on similar topics, appears in the following collection(s): Ophthalmology http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/ophthalmo logy
Permissions & Licensing	Information about reproducing this article in parts (figures, tables) or in its entirety can be found online at: http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/site/misc/Permissions.xht ml
Reprints	Information about ordering reprints can be found online: http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/site/misc/reprints.xhtml

PEDIATRICS is the official journal of the American Academy of Pediatrics. A monthly publication, it has been published continuously since 1948. PEDIATRICS is owned, published, and trademarked by the American Academy of Pediatrics, 141 Northwest Point Boulevard, Elk Grove Village, Illinois, 60007. Copyright © 2011 by the American Academy of Pediatrics. All rights reserved. Print ISSN: 0031-4005. Online ISSN: 1098-4275.



Downloaded from pediatrics.aappublications.org by guest on June 15, 2012