Please use the following scoring system to evaluate each abstract, using the range of possible points. If a section is missing, it should receive no points (0). **Please provide additional comments in the spaces below.**

Bear in mind that the goal is not to eliminate projects, but to 1.) Identify platform presentations and 2.) identify those projects that need further support and potential reworking before presentation at Research Day.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Background/Objectives (0-2 points) | How effectively does the abstract describe the relevance of the study? Are objectives clearly stated? |  |
| Methods (0-3 points) | Are methods and statistical analyses described in sufficient detail and are they appropriate for the study design? |  |
| Results (0-2 points)  | How clearly are the results presented? Are results appropriately related to the objectives?  |  |
| Conclusions (0-3 points)  | How does this study add to the existing literature, inform science and/or patient care?  |  |
| Total points (10 possible) |  |  |

1. Should the abstract be considered for a platform presentation? Why/why not? Consider originality, resident’s contribution, rigor of the project, etc.
2. Please provide constructive feedback for the authors. All reviewer comments will be deidentified and sent to the authors in the aggregate.